

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/660,084	WILLIAMS, KEVIN R.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Renata McCloud	2837

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) Renata McCloud.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Albert Deaver.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 1 February 2005

**Time:** 4:30

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

3-20

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner indicated that the limitation "common" needed to be cancelled from the claims, since it was not a structural limitation. The examiner also indicated that claims 3-20 should be cancelled since prosecution was closed under Ex parte Quayle. Att. Deaver agreed to the changes by examiner's amendment.